

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.          | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.            |  |
|--------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| 10/050,362               | 01/16/2002  | Edward C. Mauro      | 83411PCW                | 5679                        |  |
| 7590 08/17/2005          |             |                      | EXAMINER                |                             |  |
| Thomas H. Close          |             |                      | TRAN, NHAN T            |                             |  |
| Patent Legal Sta         | iff         |                      |                         | ·· <del>·</del> - ··· ··· · |  |
| Eastman Kodak Company    |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER                |  |
| 343 State Street         |             |                      | 2615                    |                             |  |
| Rochester, NY 14650-2201 |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 08/17/2005 |                             |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary   |  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  | Applicant(s)     |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|---|------------------|--|--|--|
|   |  | 10/050,362  | MAURO, EDWAR  | MAURO, EDWARD C. |  |  |  |
|   |  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |                  |  |  |  |
|   |  | Nhan T. Tran  | 2615  |                  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address<br>Period for Reply |  |   |   |                  |  |  |  |
| THE MA - Extensio after SIX - If the per - If NO per - Failure to Any reply   | RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP<br>ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION<br>ins of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of<br>(6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.<br>iod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re-<br>iod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior<br>or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statury<br>or received by the Office later than three months after the mail<br>atent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).                                     | l.<br>1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep<br>eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (<br>d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTF<br>ute, cause the application to become ABAt | ly be timely filed<br>30) days will be considered timel<br>45 from the mailing date of this co<br>NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |                  |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |   |   |                  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 March 2005</u> .   |  |   |   |                  |  |  |  |
| 2a)⊠ Tr   | nis action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th  | is action is non-final.   |   |                  |  |  |  |
|   | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |   |   |                  |  |  |  |
| Disposition   | of Claims  |   |   |                  |  |  |  |
| 4a<br>5)  | aim(s) 1,3 and 4 is/are pending in the appl ) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) 1,3 and 4 is/are rejected. aim(s) is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restriction and   | rawn from consideration.  |   |                  |  |  |  |
| Application   | Papers   | •   |   |                  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ Th<br>Ap<br>Re   | e specification is objected to by the Examile drawing(s) filed on 21 March 2005 is/are plicant may not request that any objection to the placement drawing sheet(s) including the correct oath or declaration is objected to by the less than the specific of the second or declaration is objected to by the less than the second or declaration is objected to by the less than the second or declaration is objected to by the less than the second or declaration is objected to by the less than the second or declaration is objected to be second or declaration. | a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ object<br>e drawing(s) be held in abeyance<br>ection is required if the drawing(s   | e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>is objected to. See 37 CF   | FR 1.121(d).     |  |  |  |
| Priority und  | ler 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |                  |  |  |  |
| a) [<br>1.<br>2.<br>3.  | knowledgment is made of a claim for foreignall b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority docume Certified copies of the priority docume Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume plication from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list  | nts have been received.<br>nts have been received in Appionity documents have been re<br>au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).   | olication No<br>eceived in this National  | Stage            |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   | References Cited (PTO-892)   | d) □ Intentie… C  | mmary (PTO-413)   |                  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of 3) Informati   | References Cited (P10-892)  Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  On Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0  D(s)/Mail Date   | Paper No(s)/  | Mail Date´.<br>ormal Patent Application (PTC  | D-152)           |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/050,362

Art Unit: 2615

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 3/21/2005 with respect to claims 1, 3 & 4 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## **Drawings**

2. The drawings (Figs. 1 & 2) were received on 3/21/2005. These drawings are accepted.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1 & 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gindele et al (US 6,785,421).

Regarding claim 1, Gindele discloses a method for capturing metadata in a captured scene (Figs. 7-12; col. 17, lines 40-57) comprising:

Art Unit: 2615

- (a) determining attributes in the scene (i.e., color, texture, color composition) which may be used for deriving metadata about the scene (col. 13, line 45 col. 18, line 5);
- (b) after image capture of the scene, reading the metadata of the captured scene from a digital presentation of the capture scene and (c) providing commercial advertisement based on the discerned metadata (see col. 2, lines 12-45; col. 7, lines 40-50; col. 9, line 62 col. 10, line 30 and col. 18, lines 40-60).

Regarding claim 4, Gindele clearly discloses that step (b) includes discerning landmarks in the scene (i.e., ocean/beach resort, swimming pool, automobiles, etc.). See col. 8, line 65 – col. 9, line 30.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gindele et al (US 6,785,421) in view of Stubler et al (US 6,804,684).

Regarding claim 3, Gindele also discloses that the digital representation of the captured scene may include people or a group of people to be discerned (see col. 9, lines 30-45). However, Gindele is silent about discerning facial characteristics in the scene. It

Application/Control Number: 10/050,362

Art Unit: 2615

is clearly taught by Stubler that features as face, skin, grass, open space, background vs. foreground and the like in a digital image can be used to detect region metadata in a well known technique in the art (see Stubler, col. 6, lines 55-67).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Gindele and Stubler for not only discerning landmarks in a scene but also discerning facial characteristics in the scene in a well-known fashion when analyzing region metadata of a captured scene.

#### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2615

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhan T. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7371. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 7:30am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NT.